

### PUBLIC RI REPORT

**2021 PILOT** 

Fidelity International

Generated 2022-08-18

# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

# Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	32
Listed Equity (LE)	73
Fixed Income (FI)	97
Real Estate (RE)	113

# Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

# Senior leadership statement

### Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

Fidelity International's purpose is to build better financial futures. We believe that responsible investing makes good business sense and has the potential to protect and enhance investment returns. Fidelity International is dedicated to achieving the best possible risk-adjusted returns for our investors and we believe that responsible investment is essential in maximising returns to our clients. When making investment decisions, we consider the longer-term consequences of our actions in both financial and societal terms. We believe that applying robust environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards leads to the best results for our clients, our own organisation, and the greater good.

In our overall approach to responsible investment, we strive to gain an in-depth understanding of the relevant ESG issues applicable to our investments through our internal research process. We seek to understand companies' material financial and non-financial factors that impact long-term value creation. The correct analysis of these factors is directly linked to the long-term investment outcomes we generate for our clients.

#### Specifically, we aim to achieve:

- a) The integration of ESG factors in our fundamental bottom-up investment research and security selection process, resulting in a more complete view of a company's fundamental strengths and weaknesses.
- b) An understanding of the non-financial impacts of our investment decisions on investee companies and their broader stakeholders, including employees, suppliers, customers, regulators and communities.
- c) A local approach to our sustainable investment process, recognizing the differences in economic systems, market structures, societal norms and business models across all the jurisdictions in which we operate and invest.
- d) Measurable improvements in the governance and sustainability behaviour of the companies we invest in or lend to, both directly and in collaboration with our peers and clients, by leveraging our tools of selection, engagement and voting.

Fidelity International's approach to responsible investment is consistent with the UN supported PRI Principles and is consistent with our view that ESG integration should be encouraged as it enhances long term financial return.

Our integrated approach to sustainable investing is relevant across all asset classes, sectors and markets in which we invest and is outlined in our Sustainable Investing Policy which is reviewed annually to ensure alignment to our latest Sustainable Investing strategy.

#### Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
  - collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

At Fidelity International, it is important to note that responsible investing integrates and starts with our own bottom-up research, conducted by both fundamental and ESG research analysts to bring deep company, industry and sustainability expertise to bear. We have developed and launched our own sustainability ratings, with approximately 4,600 companies individually rated on ESG characteristics as of the end of 2020. Additionally, we have worked throughout the year to develop a next generation upgraded sustainability rating that we anticipate rolling out in 2021, and which will allow us to assess even more fully a company's ESG credentials.

We take our shareholder responsibilities seriously and view active stewardship, including voting and engagement, to be among the most material activities that we undertake in sustainable investing. We believe we have the most potential to positively impact our investee companies through our engagement activities.

Over the course of 2020, our team of analysts raised a host of material ESG issues with the companies they cover, in over 14,000 meetings. During this period, our Sustainable Investing team additionally conducted 923 active ESG engagements with 716 companies. This included 152 meetings with chairpersons and other non-executive directors, and 81 meetings with CEOs, CFOs and other executive directors. Around 10% of the 923 company meetings related to collaborative engagements, with the remainder being direct engagements conducted by the Sustainable Investing team, PMs and/or investment analysts, or a combination thereof. Engagements were carried out across a number of regions: 28% of meetings were based in Continental Europe, 20% in the UK and Ireland, 19% in the Americas, 28% in Asia, and the balance in other geographical regions. Of the engagements that took place during the year, 40% were related to voting activities and 60% to non-voting activities.

Fidelity has a long history of engaging with companies on corporate governance. In 2020, we continued to broaden our engagement focus to address more environmental and social issues. The most common ESG themes covered in our engagements were executive remuneration (raised in c. 41% of engagements), governance including board structures (35%) and climate change (24%). Note that in many cases, multiple themes were discussed during a single engagement.

The Sustainable Investing team analysed and voted for 3,828 company (shareholder) meetings in 2020. We voted against management on at least one resolution at 28% of the meetings we analysed, and we abstained on at least one item at 2% of meetings we analysed. We generally abstain when there is not enough information to make an informed voting decision, or on occasion to send a cautionary message to the company. We voted with management on all items at 67% of the meetings we covered.

In 2020, we continued to break new ground in our approach to responsible investing. Examples include the publication of our inaugural China Stewardship Report and our first corporate TCFD report. We conducted a unique series of engagements focusing on the plight of stranded seafarers due to the Covid crisis: a previously unidentified yet serious risk not only from a humanitarian standpoint of the seafarers' welfare, but also a threat to the stability of global shipping and world trade.

### Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Fidelity International remains committed to delivering the highest quality responsible investment. Our aims include: Implementing our upgraded sustainability ratings to assess very deeply our investee companies' ESG strengths and weaknesses Substantively grow our sustainable investments, both in value as well as the range of strategies and products available to our clients Refining and executing on our Net Zero Commitment, both as a corporate entity and as an investment manager Reviewing and updating our proxy voting guidelines and principles with the intention to finalize and implement in 2021 Expanding our engagement themes by focusing on building back: Greener (Climate change and biodiversity); Stronger (Vulnerable workers and inequality); and Inclusively (Inclusion and digital ethics)

#### Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Paras Anand
Position	Chief Investment Officer, Asset Management, Asia Pacific
Organisation's name	Fidelity International

#### ● [Free text: Up to 2,000 characters (~300 words)]

The Senior Leadership Statement is provided as a general overview of Fidelity International's responsible investment approach. It is not intended to be all-inclusive or definitive. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

# Organisational Overview (OO)

# Organisational information

## Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

(O) Fund management	(1) This is our only (or primary) type
(P) Fund of funds, manager of managers or sub-advised products	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type
(R) Wealth management	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type
(S) Fiduciary management or other outsourced discretionary fund allocation	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type

## Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

○ (A) Yes**⑥** (B) No

# Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

# Assets under management

### All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 351,879,000,000.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

### Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

	Percentage of AUM
(A) Listed equity – internal	50-75%
(B) Listed equity – external	0-10%
(C) Fixed income – internal	10-50%

(D) Fixed income – external	0-10%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0-10%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	
Listed Alternatives and Commodity Linked ETFs	0-10%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0-10%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(9) Other
(A) Segregated mandate(s)	10-50%	10-50%	0.0%
(B) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	50-75%	>75%	>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(B) External allocation – segregated	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive equity	0.0%	0.0%	10-50%
(2) Active – quantitative	0-10%	0.0%	0.0%
(3) Active – fundamental	>75%	>75%	10-50%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0-10%	0.0%	10-50%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

### Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(B) External allocation – segregated	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive – SSA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(2) Passive – corporate	0.0%	0.0%	10-50%
(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(4) Active – SSA	10-50%	0.0%	0.0%
(5) Active – corporate	50-75%	>75%	50-75%
(6) Active – securitised	0-10%	0.0%	0.0%
(7) Private debt	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 RE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

### Provide a further breakdown of your real estate assets.

### (A) Internal allocation

(1) Retail	10-50%
(2) Office	50-75%
(3) Industrial	10-50%

(4) Residential	0.0%
(5) Hotel	0.0%
(6) Lodging, leisure and recreation	0.0%
(7) Education	0.0%
(8) Technology/science	0-10%
(9) Healthcare	0.0%
(10) Mixed use	0.0%
(11) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 OBS	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

### Provide a further breakdown of your off-balance sheet assets.

	(1) Money market	(2) Derivatives	(3) Cash, cash equivalents or overlays
(A) Internal allocation	50-75%	0-10%	10-50%

# ESG strategies

## Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

#### Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0-25%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0-25%

## Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	>75%	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0-25%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%	>75%	>75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0-25%	0-25%	0-25%

## Externally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 SAM	CORE	OO 5.2 LE, OO 5.2 FI	OO 6.1 SAM	PUBLIC	Externally managed assets	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies apply to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external	(3) Fixed income – corporate - external
(A) Screening alone	0-25%	0  25%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%

(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0-25%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 SAM	CORE	OO 6 SAM	N/A	PUBLIC	Externally managed assets	1

### What type of screening is applied to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external	(3) Fixed income – corporate - external
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%	>75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0-25%	0.0%

# Externally managed assets

## Captive relationships

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Captive relationships	GENERAL

Does your organisation have a captive relationship with some or all of its external investment managers?

o (A) Yes

(B) No

### Investment consultants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	OO 5	SAM 1	PUBLIC	Investment consultants	GENERAL

Does your organisation engage investment consultants in the selection, appointment or monitoring of your external investment managers?

o (A) Yes

**⊚** (B) No

# Stewardship

## Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(2) Engagement on listed equity – passive	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active	(4) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – passive
(A) Through service providers				
(B) Through external managers		Ø		Z
(C) Through internal staff	Ø		Ø	
(D) Collaboratively	Ø		Ø	
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity				

### Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(2) Passive – corporate	(4) Active – SSA	(5) Active – corporate	(6) Active – securitised
(A) Through service providers				
(B) Through external managers	Ø			
(C) Through internal staff		Ø	Ø	Ø
(D) Collaboratively		Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type				

## Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

	(2) Real estate
(A) Through service providers	
(C) Through internal staff	

(D) Collaboratively	<b></b>	
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class		

# ESG incorporation

## Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	•	0
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	•	0
(D) Listed equity – investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)		0
(F) Fixed income – SSA	•	0
(G) Fixed income – corporate	•	0
(H) Fixed income – securitised	•	0
(K) Real estate	•	0
(X) Off-balance sheet	•	0

### External manager selection

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager selection. Your response should refer to the selection of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when such selection took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager selection	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager selection
(A) Listed equity – passive	•	0
(B) Listed equity – active	•	0
(C) Fixed income – passive	•	0
(D) Fixed income – active	•	0
(K) Other [as specified]	•	0

## External manager appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager appointment. Your response should refer to the appointment of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when their appointment took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager appointment	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager appointment
(A) Listed equity – passive	•	0

(B) Listed equity – active		0
(C) Fixed income – passive	•	0
(D) Fixed income – active	•	0

The following externally managed asset classes are reported in OO 5.1 as 100% pooled funds or pooled investments and, therefore, ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable.

(3) ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable as we only invest in pooled funds

(K) Other [as specified]	
--------------------------	--

## External manager monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporated ESG into external manager monitoring during the reporting year.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager monitoring	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager monitoring
(A) Listed equity – passive	•	0
(B) Listed equity – active	•	0
(C) Fixed income – passive	•	0
(D) Fixed income – active	•	0
(K) Other [as specified]	•	0

# Voluntary reporting

## Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

	(1) Yes, report on the module	(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module
(G) Real estate	•	0
(J) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity	0	•
(K) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income	o	•

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

	(1) Yes, report on the module
ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	
(A) Listed equity	
(B) Fixed income – SSA	
(C) Fixed income – corporate	

# ESG/sustainability funds and products

## Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

	Percentage
(A) Listed equity – passive	0.0%
(B) Listed equity – active	0-25%
(C) Fixed income – passive	0.0%
(D) Fixed income – active	0-25%
(F) Real estate	50-75%
(K) Other	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

#### Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(A) Listed equity	0-25%
(B) Fixed income	0-25%
(D) Real estate	50-75%

# Climate investments

### Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0 -- 25%

# Other asset breakdowns

## ${\bf Geographical\ breakdown}$

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income – SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(4) Fixed income – securitised	(7) Real estate
(A) Developed	50-75%	50-75%	50-75%	>75%	>75%
(B) Emerging	25-50%	0-25%	25-50%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0-25%	0-25%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0-25%	0-25%	0.0%	0.0%

## Management by PRI signatories

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What approximate percentage (+/-5%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

> 75%

## Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

#### Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA	>75%
(B) Fixed income – corporate	>75%
(C) Fixed income – securitised	>75%

## Real estate: Building type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 5	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{RE}\ 1,\mathrm{RE}\ 9,\mathrm{RE} \\ 10 \end{array}$	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by strategy?

#### Percentage total of direct physical real estate AUM

(A) Standing investments	>75%
(B) New construction	0.0%
(C) Major renovation	0-25%

# Context and explanation

## Appointment: Pooled funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 33	CORE	OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment: Pooled funds	GENERAL

For your externally managed pooled funds, please describe any other mechanisms in place to set expectations as part of the appointment or commitment process.

Externally managed assets here are referring to those in our Solutions and Multi-asset portfolio. We take an equally rigorous approach to engaging with managers across vehicles, using a consistent process to understand strategies' financial and non-financial risk. Our Manager Research team conducts in-depth analysis on strategies, using quantitative and qualitative research to evaluate their characteristics. Each strategy is subject to this rigorous research, including in-person and remote assessments. Our analysts will ask ongoing questions on sustainability, including incorporation of ESG factors into an investment process, plus broader approaches to non-financial risks associated with a strategy. We feel this is an important part of building conviction on an underlying manager, whether from within Fidelity or externally.

Once analyst recommendations are made - based on long-term investment characteristics and considerations of sustainability - each is presented and debated. This is an important part of the process, providing independent assessment of investment proposals, with input from a diverse team and discussion prior to the appointment of any manager (internal or external). Once a recommendation is debated, the strategy requires review by our independent Operational Due Diligence team, which conducts thorough investigation into the governance and sustainability of a strategy, before and during the appointment process. This research includes information on a manager's legal and compliance process, corporate structure, organisational memberships, plus any stated commitments on ESG. The ODD team plays an important role in our research into strategies, and this process can lead us to decline investment to managers where we do not believe the governance and broader sustainability to be robust enough over the long term. We would be pleased to provide further information on the research or ODD processes on request.

#### ESG in other asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 34	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

### Describe how you incorporate ESG into the following asset classes.

	Description
(F) Other – external	Externally managed assets here are referring to those in our Solutions and Multi-asset portfolio. We take an equally rigorous approach to engaging with managers across vehicles, using a consistent process to understand strategies' financial and non-financial risk. Our Manager Research team conducts in-depth analysis on strategies, using quantitative and qualitative research to evaluate their characteristics. Each strategy is subject to this rigorous research, including inperson and remote assessments. (response continued in row below)
	Our analysts will ask ongoing questions on sustainability, including incorporation of ESG factors into an investment process, plus broader approaches to non-financial risks associated with a strategy. We feel this is an important part of building conviction on an underlying manager, whether from within Fidelity or externally.
	Once analyst recommendations are made - based on long-term investment characteristics and considerations of sustainability - each is presented and debated. This is an important part of the process, providing independent assessment of investment proposals, with input from a diverse team and discussion prior to the appointment of any manager (internal or external). (response continued in row below)

Once a recommendation is debated, the strategy requires review by our independent Operational Due Diligence team, which conducts thorough investigation into the governance and sustainability of a strategy, before and during the appointment process. This research includes information on a manager's legal and compliance process, corporate structure, organisational memberships, plus any stated commitments on ESG. The ODD team plays an important role in our research into strategies, and this process can lead us to decline investment to managers where we do not believe the governance and broader sustainability to be robust enough over the long term. We would be pleased to provide further information on the research or ODD processes on request..

# Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

# Responsible investment policy & governance

### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- o (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- (G) Approach to exclusions
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- ☑ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- $\square$  (K) Responsible investment governance structure
- $\square$  (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- ☑ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- ☑ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.2	PLUS	ISP 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What mechanisms do you have in place to ensure that your policies are implemented in an aligned and consistent way across the organisation?

Sustainability Governance

The responsibility for policy and objectives setting as it relates to sustainable investing, and oversight of the implementation and delivery of these policies and objectives, lies with the Sustainable Investing Operating Committee (SIOC or the Committee), which operates under the authority of Fidelity's Chief Executive Officer. The scope of the Committee includes oversight of sustainable investing matters across Fidelity's business units, setting the overall strategic direction, policy formulation, external representation, product, business growth, investment integration, and setting the exclusion lists. The Committee also oversees the execution of Fidelity's ownership rights in investee issuers, including engagement and proxy voting activities. The Committee is comprised of senior executives from across our business, including the Global Head of Stewardship and Sustainable Investing and senior representatives of the Investment Management, Distribution and General Counsel functions. SIOC meets monthly to review the sustainable investing activities of the firm and this Policy is reviewed and updated at least annually (and more frequently as required).

#### Internal and External Assurance

Fidelity uses both external and internal audits to receive assurance of the effectiveness of its stewardship. The auditor and professional services firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers, conducts an annual audit of Fidelity's internal controls, including its proxy voting processes to ensure that voting instructions are generated, recorded, and carried out in a timely manner. The audit includes assurance that, among other things, our standing failsafe vote instruction with our third-party voting agent is in place, that votes against management are being made on the basis of full and accurate information, and that internal communications between the Sustainable Investing team and portfolio managers occur as required. We have chosen to engage an external auditor for this purpose to meet client requirements regarding our governance of risk controls. Fidelity also has an internal audit function that conducts periodic assessments of key risk areas as part of our 'three lines of defence' risk management framework. In 2020, several aspects related to our stewardship activities - including our ESG research rating framework, portfolio management, and compliance monitoring - were covered by these audits. We chose to utilise our internal audit function for these reviews last year because of the team's accessibility and deep knowledge of the business, which we believed would deliver superior insight into the quality of our effectiveness in these stewardship areas. SIOC also reviewed aspects of our stewardship processes as part of its normal oversight functions.

#### Quarterly Fund Reviews

Individual portfolios are subject to an in-depth quarterly review with senior management, in which every aspect of the fund in question is examined, including risk profile, volatility, performance and fund positioning as well as the individual investments of the fund. We include ESG scoring data, and carbon data as part of these quarterly reviews as standard measurements of the ESG quality of our funds. In this way, portfolio managers are held accountable by their chief investment officer on how sustainable investing forms part of their decision-making process.

#### Monitoring of ESG Exclusions

Fidelity will consider excluding companies from our investment universe on specific ESG criteria. We adopt a principles-based approach to ESG matters. As part of this, we place companies we regard as unsuitable investments on an "exclusion list". Fidelity International's in-house Sustainable Investing team, in conjunction with external data, tools and research providers, undertakes a series of ESG themed assessments which identify companies within our investment universe that could be categorised for potential exclusion. The Sustainable Investing team discusses these with the in-house Research Team to screen these companies in more detail against our ESG principles to establish a provisional Exclusion List, which is then submitted to Fidelity International's Sustainable Investing Operating Committee for approval. This group reviews the list every quarter and approves any changes, but meetings are convened as required to add or remove companies from the list in the event of a change in circumstances. The Exclusion List is submitted to the Fidelity Board for final ratification. Once a company is placed on the Exclusion List, we will divest any holdings from our actively-managed funds as soon as practicable and notify the company of their exclusion from our investment universe. Fidelity International's Investment Compliance team monitors adherence and ensure trading restrictions are put in place to enforce the exclusions.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

#### Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

#### ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):

 $https://fidelity institutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; \\ https://www.fidelity institutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing$ 

#### ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):

https://fidelityinstitutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

#### ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s):

https://fidelityinstitutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

#### ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):

 $https://fidelity institutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; \\ https://www.fidelity institutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing$ 

#### ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en/responsible-investing/

#### ☑ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s):

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en/responsible-investing/

#### ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):

 $https://fidelity institutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/professional/articles/Exclusion\%20 Policy\_RZ.pdf; \\ https://www.fidelity institutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-invest$ 

#### $\square$ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s):

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en/responsible-investing/

#### ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s):

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en/responsible-investing/

#### ☑ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):

https://fidelityinstitutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

#### ☑ (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):

 $https://fidelity institutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/fidelity\_sustainable\_investing\_policy.pdf; \\ https://www.fidelity institutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing$ 

#### ☑ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://fidelityinstitutional.com/static/global-institutional/media/pdf/sustainable-investing-report.pdf; https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

#### ☑ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://eumultisitev4prod-live-eb461540d2184169bb77db2b062d9318-f268f99.s3-eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/PI%20UK/pdf/legal/fidelity-engagement-policy.pdf; https://www.fidelity.co.uk/responsible-investing/; https://www.fidelity.co.uk/uk-stewardship-code/#235637

☐ (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- o (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- o (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- o (C) Guidelines on social factors
- o (D) Guidelines on governance factors

#### AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

> 75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

#### Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

#### ☑ (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)

 $\square$  (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)

 $\square$  (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class–specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

#### AUM Coverage:

(A) Listed Equity	>75%
(B) Fixed Income	${>}75\%$
(D) Real Estate	${>}75\%$

### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☐ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☑ (C) Investment committee
- $\square$  (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- ☑ (E) Head of department, please specify department:
  - Heads of Research; Global Head of Stewardship & Sustainable Investing
- □ (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

	□ (A	) Board	and/or	trustee
--	------	---------	--------	---------

- ☑ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☐ (C) Investment committee
- □ (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- ☑ (E) Head of department [as specified]
- ☑ (F) Portfolio managers
- ☑ (G) Investment analysts
- ☑ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- ☐ (I) Investor relations
- ☐ (J) External managers or service providers
- $\square$  (K) Other role, please specify:
  - CIO Asset Management, Asia Pacific
- $\square$  (L) Other role, please specify:
- $\square$  (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

## People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief-level staff	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities		Ø	Ø	Ø

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach		☑	☑	Ø
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)		Ø	Ø	Ø
(D) Objective for ESG performance		Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]				
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]				
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	Ø			
	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts	(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff	(11) Other role
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	Ø	Ø	Z	Ø
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	Ø	Ø	☑	☑
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	Ø	Ø	✓	Ø
(D) Objective for ESG performance	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

	jective related to vestment [as specified]						
	jective related to vestment [as specified]						
	al objectives for vestment exist for this						
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General	
Which respon	sible investment objectiv	es are linked to va	riable compensa	tion for roles i	n vour organisation?		
	sible investment objectiv		_	RI ob roles i	jectives linked to variable n your organisation:		
			_	RI ob roles i	jectives linked to variable		
(2) Chief-leve		ve Officer (CEO),	Chief Investmen	RI ob roles i	jectives linked to variable n your organisation:		
(2) Chief-leve  (A) Objective  (B) Objective	l staff (e.g. Chief Executi	ve Officer (CEO), on investment activ	Chief Investmen	RI ob roles i t Officer (CIO	jectives linked to variable n your organisation:		
(2) Chief-leve  (A) Objective  (B) Objective  ESG incorpor  (C) Objective	e for ESG incorporation in a for contributing to the cation approach	ve Officer (CEO), on investment active development of the organisation's stew	Chief Investmen ities e organisation's vardship activitie	RI ob roles i t Officer (CIO	jectives linked to variable n your organisation:		

(3) Investment committee	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(5) Head of department	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	☑
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(6) Portfolio managers	
(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	Ŋ

(7) Investment analysts	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	☑
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	$\square$
(11) Other role	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- o (B) Bi-annually
- o (C) Annually
- o (D) Less frequently than annually
- o (E) On an ad hoc basis
- $\circ$  (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

## Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

#### Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- □ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- $\square$  (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- □ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

## Stewardship

## Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	>75%
(B) Fixed income	>75%
(D) Real estate	${>}75\%$

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- $\square$  (A) Key stewardship objectives
- $\square$  (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- ☑ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☑ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☑ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- □ (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☑ (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☑ (I) Escalation strategies
- (J) Conflicts of interest
- $\square$  (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- $\square$  (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa

☐ (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12.1	PLUS	ISP 12	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

#### Describe any additional details related to your stewardship policy elements or your overall stewardship approach.

The Responsible Investing webpage provided in ISP 2 includes our Sustainable Investing and Engagement Policies (https://www.fidelity.co.uk/responsible-investing/) as well as other documents and policies in relation to our Active Ownership approach. Further information on our Active Ownership and stewardship activities can be found in this attached link (https://www.fidelity.co.uk/uk-stewardship-code/).

All our Sustainable Investing Policies are reviewed and updated annually or earlier than that if required, whilst any changes to this policy are approved by the Board. We also update our Global Operating Committee of any material changes to our policies.

Engaging with companies on financially material environmental, social and governance issues reflects our belief that active ownership can contribute to the long-term sustainability of a company and positive investor returns. Our engagement topics are driven by the investment team and market trends. We will focus our engagements on companies within our global investment universe but will prioritise those companies that we hold. Our engagements are both proactive and reactive and are run by the Sustainable Investing team in conjunction with the covering investment analyst. We will engage with a company on a reactive basis if there is an ESG event that impacts that company or if the company has reached out to us for ESG engagement. Through our thematic engagement process, we will proactively reach out to companies within a certain sector to gain further insights into their performance and practices within a certain theme.

We track our engagements systematically through internal databases. We view our thematic engagements as long-term projects but we will take steps to escalate the engagements if we feel we are not getting the change/improvements we are looking for, for example we will consider using our vote or making AGM statements at company meetings.

## Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

#### How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
- o (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- o (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- o (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

## Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	0	0	0
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	0	0	0
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	•	•	•
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	0	o	O

## Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	☑	☑	☑
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance			
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	☑	☑	☑
(D) The ESG rating of the entity			
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	☑	☑	☑
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients			
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries			
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:			
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets			

## Stewardship methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 17	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship methods	2

Please rank the methods that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives. Ranking options: 1 = most important, 5 = least important.

(A) Internal resources (e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team or staff)	1
(B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers (if applicable)	We do not use this method
(C) External paid services or initiatives other than investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers (paid beyond a membership fee)	3
(D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with peers	4
(E) Formal collaborative engagements (e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, the Initiative Climat International (iCI) or similar)	2

## Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- $\circ$  (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- o (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation

- o (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18.1	PLUS	ISP 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

#### Describe your position on collaborating for stewardship.

We maintain close relationships with a wide spectrum of stakeholders including clients, investors and other corporate stakeholders. When it comes to the interface between engagement with our investee companies and global and local policy changes, we engage through multiple collaborative bodies such as ClimateAction 100+, 40:40 Vision, Find it Fix it Prevent it, Investors against Slavery and Trafficking APAC, and actively consider collaborative engagement initiatives and opportunities. Relevant factors in determining whether to participate in a collaborative engagement will include an assessment of the nature of the platform and other participants, the size of our investment and a determination of whether a collaborative approach will help to achieve a satisfactory outcome. We seek to identify issues, both governance and otherwise, which are relevant to the performance or valuation of the business in question.

At a policy engagement level, we are a member of and engaging with a number of external governance-related organizations (besides the UN PRI) including: the Investment Association, the Panel on Takeovers and Mergers, the Confederation of British Industry, the International Corporate Governance Network, ASIFMA, and ACGA. We are also a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code, the Efama Stewardship Code, the Japanese Stewardship Code, Taiwan's Stewardship Principles for Institutional Investors and the Hong Kong Principles of Responsible Ownership.

We also regularly engage with local regulators such as the Autorité des marchés financiers (AMF) and the European Commission on matters that may affect us or our investee companies. This may take the form of direct dialogue, responding to public consultation requests, or other consultation forums. For example, following the launch of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), we have published our disclosure report accordingly and continue to assess alignment of our funds to SFDR articles requirements.

## Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 19	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

Which of these measures did your organisation, or the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, use most frequently when escalating initial stewardship approaches that were deemed unsuccessful?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		☑
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		

Indicator	Type of	Dependent on	Gateway	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI
(H) We did not use any escalation measures during the reporting year. Please explain why below						
(G) Divesting exit strategy	g or implementing an					
(F) Voting against the annual financial report						
(E) Voting ag board of dire	gainst the chair of the ctors		Ø			
	gainst the re-election of board directors		V			
(C) Publicly (e.g. open let	engaging the entity ter)		Ø			V

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

N/A

Multiple, see

guidance

indicator

CORE

ISP 20

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)		
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors		

Principle

2

Escalation

strategies

PUBLIC

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	

## Alignment and effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 21	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment and effectiveness	2

Describe how you coordinate stewardship across your organisation to ensure that stewardship progress and results feed into investment decision-making and vice versa.

The firm's stewardship activities, which include proxy voting and engagement, are spearheaded by the Sustainable Investing (SI) team, which is comprised of sustainability and stewardship professionals covering various subject matter areas and competencies. The SI team is part of Fidelity's Investment Management business and helps the firm's global team of investment analysts and portfolio managers monitor, analyse and engage with investee companies.

Fidelity's investment analysts are responsible for developing the company's house view on investee companies from a financial and sustainability perspective. The analysts will generally lead company meetings that are conducted principally for information-gathering purposes and research, with SI team members supporting as subject matter experts where appropriate. Conversely, the SI team tends to lead on thematic environmental, social and governance (ESG) or governance-focused (e.g. voting) engagements, with investment analysts supporting where appropriate. Fidelity portfolio managers often participate in and will sometimes lead key engagements (e.g. for specific investment strategies with a sustainability emphasis). There are also other investment team members that support specific stewardship activities. These include the Capital Markets team, which supports the investment team with IPOs and equity placements. The Senior Governance Advisor also supports the London investment team on strategic engagements with non-executive directors.

Our analysts, portfolio managers and sustainable investing specialists document all engagements with issuers in a centralised application platform that is available to the entire investment team. This transparency allows the team to enrich its knowledge and learn about sectors, themes and asset classes. The portfolio managers and analysts are also consulted on shareholder voting resolutions as appropriate.

As an active investor, our portfolio managers generally have discretion to manage the investments for their funds within a set of predefined investment guidelines. Portfolio managers may consider research notes, proprietary sustainable ratings and third-party ESG ratings, macro research, learnings from stewardship activities, and other inputs when making investment decisions.

## Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☑ (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- ☑ (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- $\square$  (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.1	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

What methods do you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- $\square$  (A) We participate in "sign-on" letters on ESG policy topics. Describe:
- $\square$  (B) We respond to policy consultations on ESG policy topics. Describe:
- $\square$  (C) We provide technical input on ESG policy change. Describe:
- $\square$  (D) We proactively engage financial regulators on financial regulatory topics regarding ESG integration, stewardship, disclosure or similar. Describe:
- ☑ (E) We proactively engage regulators and policymakers on other policy topics. Describe:
  - Fidelity engages in bilateral meetings, industry association discussions, responses to consultation papers, industry conferences (through our membership of Eurofi) and political party conferences in the UK. These engagements span a variety of topics including, but not limited to: ESG & Sustainable Investment, Governance, Risk & Financial Stability, Capital Market Reform & Investor Education.
- $\Box$  (F) Other methods used to engage with policy makers. Describe:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.2	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

Do you have governance processes in place (e.g. board accountability and oversight, regular monitoring and review of relationships) that ensure your policy activities, including those through third parties, are aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

● (A) Yes, we have governance processes in place to ensure that our policy activities are aligned with our position on sustainable finance and our commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI. Describe your governance processes:

Our governance structure supports oversight and accountability for effective stewardship at the most senior levels of the organisation. Our Sustainable Investing Operating Committee (SIOC), which operates under the authority of Fidelity's chief executive officer, sets policies and objectives for sustainable investing and monitors progress. With respect to public policy, its responsibilities include monitoring the policy and regulatory environment, with regards to sustainable investing. The committee comprises senior executives from across our business, including the Global Head of Stewardship and Sustainable Investing and senior representatives from our investment management, distribution and general counsel functions. SIOC meets monthly to review the sustainable investing activities of the firm. The Sustainable Investing policy and related policies are reviewed and updated at least annually.

o (B) No, we do not have these governance processes in place. Please explain why not:

## Engaging policymakers - Policies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24	CORE	ISP 23	ISP 24.1	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Do you have policies in place that ensure that your political influence as an organisation is aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

- (A) Yes, we have a policy(ies) in place. Describe your policy(ies):
- (B) No, we do not a policy(ies) in place. Please explain why not:

We maintain close relationships with a wide spectrum of stakeholders including clients, investors and other corporate stakeholders. We also regularly engage with local regulators such as the Autorité des marchés financiers (AMF) and the European Commission on matters that may affect us or our investee companies. This may take the form of direct dialogue, responding to public consultation requests, or other consultation forums. For example, following the launch of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), we have published our disclosure report accordingly and continue to assess alignment of our funds to SFDR articles requirements.

At a policy engagement level, we are a member of and engaging with a number of external governance-related organizations (besides the UN PRI) including: the Investment Association, the Panel on Takeovers and Mergers, the Confederation of British Industry, the International Corporate Governance Network, ASIFMA, and ACGA. We are also a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code, the Efama Stewardship Code, the Japanese Stewardship Code, Taiwan's Stewardship Principles for Institutional Investors and the Hong Kong Principles of Responsible Ownership.

When it comes to the interface between engagement with our investee companies and global and local policy changes, we engage through multiple collaborative bodies such as ClimateAction 100+, 40:40 Vision, Find it Fix it Prevent it, Investors against Slavery and Trafficking APAC, and actively consider collaborative engagement initiatives and opportunities. Relevant factors in determining whether to participate in a collaborative engagement will include an assessment of the nature of the platform and other participants, the size of our investment and a determination of whether a collaborative approach will help to achieve a satisfactory outcome. We seek to identify issues, both governance and otherwise, which are relevant to the performance or valuation of the business in question.

### Engaging policymakers – Transparency

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 25	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Transparency	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose your policy engagement activities or those conducted on your behalf by external investment managers/service providers?

<b>√</b>	(A) We publicly disclosed details of our policy engagement activities. Add link(s):
	$Sustainable\ Investing\ Report,\ available\ at:\ https://fidelity institutional.com/static/global-institutional/media/pdf/sustainable-investing-$
	report pdf

☑ (B) We publicly disclosed a list of our third-party memberships in or support for trade associations, think-tanks or similar that conduct policy engagement activities with our support or endorsement. Add link(s):

 $Sustainable\ Investing\ Report,\ available\ at:\ https://fidelity institutional.com/static/global-institutional/media/pdf/sustainable-investing-report.pdf$ 

(C)	No.	we did no	t publicly	disclose d	our policy	engagements	activities	during	the re	porting	vear.	Explain	why:
$( \sim )$		, ,, , , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0 0 0 0 1101.	CLID CLOD C	Jan Pono,	0116000011101100	0001110100	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0110 10	P 01 01118	.,		

□ (D) Not applicable, we did not conduct policy engagement activities

## Climate change

## Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

#### Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

♠ (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

2020 TCFD Report: Available at https://fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing SFDR disclosures: Available at https://www.fidelity.co.uk/responsible-investing/ Net Zero commitment via the Net Zero Asset Manager Initiative: https://www.iigcc.org/news/leading-asset-managers-commit-to-net-zero-emissions-goal-with-launch-of-global-initiative/

o (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

#### Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

o (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

#### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

#### How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

## $\square$ (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

The Board exercises oversight of climate-related issues through input from Fidelity International's senior executives and groups within the firm including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), the Global Operating Committee (GOC), the Corporate Sustainability Committee (CSC) and the Sustainable Investing Operating Committee (SIOC). Each of these provides reporting to and receives direction from the Board.

- □ (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:
- □ (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:
- □ (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:
- □ (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:
- □ (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:
- ☑ (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

Further, the Board has formally delegated to the Audit and Risk Committee (ARC) the responsibility to take an independent perspective on audit oversight, internal controls and risk within Fidelity International. ARC is chaired by a non-executive director and the majority of members are also non-executive directors. ARC focuses on the internal control framework and risk structures, financial reporting process and integrity, and the scope and coverage of internal and external audit. ARC also has oversight of regulatory, tax and legal matters and risks including climate change. In these capacities, the Audit and Risk Committee is the primary Board-level entity responsible for the consideration of climate-related risks and risk management policies. ARC meets at least twice a year.

☐ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

#### What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

## ☑ (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

Fidelity International's board delegates management of the company to the CEO. The CEO has created the Global Operating Committee (GOC) to implement strategy across Fidelity International's businesses and activities. The GOC is chaired by and reports to the CEO. The GOC has created two committees to focus on climate-related responsibilities: the Corporate Sustainability Committee (CSC) and the Sustainability Investing Operating Committee (SIOC). Both the CSC and the SIOC report to the GOC in its capacity as a management committee that reports to the CEO. These committees take primary oversight and execution responsibilities for assessing and managing climate-related activities with respect to Fidelity International as a corporate entity and as an investment manager.

- ☑ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:
  Senior management is responsible for environmental sustainability across the organisation.
- Semon management is responsible for environmental sustamability across the organisation
- ☑ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

We monitor and report via the TCFD report and integration of climate change considerations at fund, asset class and geographical levels.

☑ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

The global Sustainable Investing team of over 15 full-time employees is responsible to implement and execute sustainable investment integration agenda across the firm.

- □ (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:
- □ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

### Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

#### ☑ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

The transition to a low carbon economy is one of the key elements of our work across climate change risk integration and as such we have tools in place to understand, monitor and measure it for our own business as well as that of our investee companies. The low carbon transition is sector, asset class and geography agnostic, and as such we consider it across all investment strategies. The consideration of how the low carbon transition might impact each strategy is integrated via our proprietary sustainability ratings. The identification of financial risks in different asset classes is best to review on an individual asset class basis and as such, we have split into equity, fixed income and sovereign. Equity: - Revenue Loss - Cost Escalation - Asset Impairment - Regulator fines - Rising Equity Risk Premium Fixed Income (corporate): - Revenue Loss - Cost Escalation - Asset Impairment - Regulator fines - Defaults - Rising Spreads Sovereign: - Weaker current account - Additional fiscal expenditure requirements - Lower economic growth - Asset quality pressures in the banking sector - Higher inflation - Defaults - Wider credit spreads

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

'- Oil and Gas and associated Transport Infrastructure - Thermal Coal - Real estate - Coastal / Ranches / fire prone areas - Power - Fossil fuels - Agriculture and Forestry - Gas distribution - Banks and financial institutions via their loan book or investment exposure In addition to this, anything that is plugged in to legacy infrastructure, e.g. supply chains dependent on carbon intensive infrastructure to move goods to clients and customers, auto makers and their parts suppliers, cement and steel making. Overall, stranded asset risk is greatest where the clean alternative is readily available

#### ☑ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

Direct and first-order real loss of physical assets. This would include: - Damage and loss of real assets - Disruption to value chains - Supply chain costs - Lost hours of staff - This is across all Asset Classes as they have underlying exposure to sectors and companies which are all prone to Physical climate risk in one way or another.

#### ☑ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

Alongside the factors included in (C), indirect and second-order risks covering the value loss associated with change in pricing of physical assets such as: - Insurance costs - Energy costs - Regulatory change - Legal liabilities - Market changes - Borrowing costs - Social license

#### ☑ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

Beneficiaries of multiple climate scenarios include: - Water - Pockets of healthcare due to changing disease patterns - Agricultural beneficiaries from some land becoming more arable but other areas becoming less so - Broad climate adaptation technologies

#### ☑ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

The list of technologies that contribute significantly to achieving climate goals are listed below. If these technologies were fully adopted, we estimate we'd see an 80% reduction in global emissions. - Electric or fuel cell vehicles - Autonomous vehicles and ride pooling - Renewables - Green hydrogen - Smart technologies - Industrial and agricultural efficiency - Infrastructure e.g cloud technology - Alternative meat and dairy - E-health - Carbon Capture

(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

We have identified the following key climate-related risks to the organisation: 1. Carbon and GHG (Greenhouse Gas) emission control and reduction Not only is it important to reduce emissions, specific directives to do so may be increasingly required under legislation and taxation; a cohesive strategy is needed to manage the effects and to track legislative changes and analyse client requirements, in order to best manage these transition risks. 2. Energy scarcity, reliance and dependency These transition and physical risks may be addressed by diversification and on-site energy generation. 3. Vulnerability to impacts from climate change such as flooding, heatwaves or extreme weather Business operation adaptations need to be considered to mitigate such physical risks. While climate change presents numerous risks to Fidelity International, it also presents opportunities for our business. We have the opportunity, at the corporate level and as an investment manager, to improve our own and our investee companies' approaches and practices with respect to climate change: to develop resource efficiency and resilience and to provide open and transparent disclosure about our activities. On the corporate side, we have identified and are making progress towards realising climate-related opportunities in our business practices. The centrepiece of our ambition is our net zero 2040 goal, announced earlier this year. Details of this and of our corporate sustainability climate-related initiatives are set out in the Targets & Metrics section; highlights include reducing our consumption of resources (energy, water, materials, packaging) by: Minimising waste through a commitment to reduce, re-use, recover and recycle waste; and Pursuing energy efficiency in the design, maintenance, management and operation of our owned/operated buildings; such as: - Reducing power demands by programming monitors and printers to automatically switch off overnight -Replacing inefficient lighting units and lamps with more energy efficient alternatives. Whilst a broad number of risks have already been discussed, there are some beyond our 3-5 year investment horizon. These include: - Litigation risk - Inflation risk - Climate refugees -Employment mismatches (both ways: unemployment in some areas and employee shortages in other areas)

□ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Z
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Z

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø	$\square$	Ø	Ø
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	☑	Ø	Ø	Z
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	☑	Ø	Ø	Ø
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Z
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21	-30 years	(7) >30 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	Ø		Ø	Ø
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	Ø		Ø	Ø
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø		Ø	Ø
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø		Ø	Ø
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	Ø		<b>☑</b>	Ø

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	Ø	
(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified [as specified]	$\square$	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

#### Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

#### ☑ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

The transition to a low carbon economy is one of the key elements of our work across climate change risk integration and as such we have tools in place to understand, monitor and measure it for our own business as well as that of our investee companies. The low carbon transition is sector, asset class and geography agnostic, and as such we consider it across all investment strategies. The consideration of how the low carbon transition might impact each strategy is integrated via our proprietary sustainability ratings. The identification of financial risks in different asset classes is best to review on an individual asset class basis and as such, we have split into equity, fixed income and sovereign. Equity: - Revenue Loss - Cost Escalation - Asset Impairment - Regulator fines - Rising Equity Risk Premium Fixed Income (corporate): - Revenue Loss - Cost Escalation - Asset Impairment - Regulator fines - Defaults - Rising Spreads Sovereign: - Weaker current account - Additional fiscal expenditure requirements - Lower economic growth - Asset quality pressures in the banking sector - Higher inflation - Defaults - Wider credit spreads

☑ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

'- Oil and Gas and associated Transport Infrastructure - Thermal Coal - Real estate - Coastal / Ranches / fire prone areas - Power - Fossil fuels - Agriculture and Forestry - Gas distribution - Banks and financial institutions via their loan book or investment exposure In addition to this, anything that is plugged in to legacy infrastructure, e.g. supply chains dependent on carbon intensive infrastructure to move goods to clients and customers, auto makers and their parts suppliers, cement and steel making. Overall, stranded asset risk is greatest where the clean alternative is readily available

#### ☑ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

Direct and first-order real loss of physical assets. This would include: - Damage and loss of real assets - Disruption to value chains - Supply chain costs - Lost hours of staff - This is across all Asset Classes as they have underlying exposure to sectors and companies which are all prone to Physical climate risk in one way or another.

#### ☑ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

Alongside the factors included in (C), indirect and second-order risks covering the value loss associated with change in pricing of physical assets such as: - Insurance costs - Energy costs - Regulatory change - Legal liabilities - Market changes - Borrowing costs - Social licence

#### ☑ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

Beneficiaries of multiple climate scenarios include: - Water - Pockets of healthcare due to changing disease patterns - Agricultural beneficiaries from some land becoming more arable but other areas becoming less so - Broad climate adaptation technologies

#### $\square$ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

The list of technologies that contribute significantly to achieving climate goals are listed below. If these technologies were fully adopted, we estimate we'd see an 80% reduction in global emissions. - Electric or fuel cell vehicles - Autonomous vehicles and ride pooling - Renewables - Green hydrogen - Smart technologies - Industrial and agricultural efficiency - Infrastructure e.g cloud technology - Alternative meat and dairy - E-health - Carbon capture

☑ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

We have identified the following key climate-related risks to the organisation: 1. Carbon and GHG (Greenhouse Gas) emission control and reduction Not only is it important to reduce emissions, specific directives to do so may be increasingly required under legislation and taxation; a cohesive strategy is needed to manage the effects and to track legislative changes and analyse client requirements, in order to best manage these transition risks. 2. Energy scarcity, reliance and dependency These transition and physical risks may be addressed by diversification and on-site energy generation. 3. Vulnerability to impacts from climate change such as flooding, heatwaves or extreme weather Business operation adaptations need to be considered to mitigate such physical risks. While climate change presents numerous risks to Fidelity International, it also presents opportunities for our business. We have the opportunity, at the corporate level and as an investment manager, to improve our own and our investee companies' approaches and practices with respect to climate change: to develop resource efficiency and resilience and to provide open and transparent disclosure about our activities. On the corporate side, we have identified and are making progress towards realising climate-related opportunities in our business practices. The centrepiece of our ambition is our net zero 2040 goal, announced earlier this year. Details of this and of our corporate sustainability climate-related initiatives are set out in the Targets & Metrics section; highlights include reducing our consumption of resources (energy, water, materials, packaging) by: Minimising waste through a commitment to reduce, re-use, recover and recycle waste; and Pursuing energy efficiency in the design, maintenance, management and operation of our owned/operated buildings; such as: - Reducing power demands by programming monitors and printers to automatically switch off overnight -Replacing inefficient lighting units and lamps with more energy efficient alternatives. Whilst a broad number of risks have already been discussed, there are some beyond our 3-5 year investment horizon. These include: - Litigation risk - Inflation risk - Climate refugees -Employment mismatches (both ways: unemployment in some areas and employee shortages in other areas)

☐ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

## Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

- ☑ (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario
- (B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
- (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- $\square$  (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- □ (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

## Sustainability outcomes

## Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

- ☑ (A) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy
- (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- (C) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy
- □ (D) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class–specific investment guidelines
- $\square$  (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

		(A)	The	SDG	goals	and	targets
--	--	-----	-----	-----	-------	-----	---------

- ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
- □ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- $\square$  (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- $\square$  (E) Other frameworks, please specify:
- $\square$  (F) Other frameworks, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 42	PLUS	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the main reasons that your organisation has established policies or guidelines on sustainability outcomes? Select a maximum of three options.

- ☑ (A) Because we understand which potential financial risks and opportunities are likely to exist in (and during the transition to) an SDG-aligned world
- $\square$  (B) Because we see it as a way to identify opportunities, such as through changes to business models, across supply chains and through new and expanded products and services
- $\square$  (C) Because we want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments, including those that may lead to stranded assets
- □ (D) Because we want to protect our reputation and licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients and other stakeholders), particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes from investments
- □ (E) Because we want to meet institutional commitments on global goals (including those based on client or beneficiaries' preferences), and communicate on progress towards meeting those objectives
- ☑ (F) Because we consider materiality over longer time horizons to include transition risks, tail risks, financial system risks and similar
- ☑ (G) Because we want to minimise negative sustainability outcomes and increase positive sustainability outcomes of investments

## Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- o (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- ☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
   ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
   □ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
   □ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
   □ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- ☑ (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
- EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulations (SFDR)
- $\square$  (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:
- $\square$  (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

#### At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- (A) At the asset level
- ☐ (B) At the economic activity level
- (C) At the company level
- (D) At the sector level
- ☑ (E) At the country/region level
- $\square$  (F) At the global level
- $\square$  (G) Other level(s), please specify:
- □ (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

#### How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- ☑ (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☑ (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- ☑ (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- ☑ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- $\Box$  (H) Other method, please specify:
- $\square$  (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

## Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

#### Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☑ (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- $\square$  (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance

- ☑ (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- □ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☑ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- $\square$  (L)We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

## Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

- ☑ (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- ☑ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- ☑ (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable
- $\square$  (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- □ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

## Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- ☑ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☑ (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered

$\square$ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
□ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
☑ (G) Our stewardship approach
☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
$\square$ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
$\square$ (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
☑ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
□ (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our
assets under management

## Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

#### What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- ☑ (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- ☐ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- ☑ (D) Stewardship results
- $\Box$  (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- ☐ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- □ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

## Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

#### For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity	(1) Quarterly
(B) Fixed income	(1) Quarterly
(D) Real estate	(3) Annually

## Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

## What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- $\square$  (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- $\square$  (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- ☑ (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- (E) Some or all of our funds have been audited as part of the certification process against a sustainable investment/RI label
- $\square$  (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- □ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- $\square$  (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- $\square$  (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 56	CORE	OO 14, ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

#### What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited by internal auditors/outsourced internal auditors?

(A) Investment and stewardship policy	(1) Processes assured
(C) Listed equity	(1) Processes assured
(D) Fixed income	(1) Processes assured

### (F) Real estate

(4) Neither process nor data assured

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

### Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(4) report not reviewed
(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed
(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:  CIO Asset Management, Asia Pacific	(3) parts of the report
(E) Head of department, please specify:  Global Head of Stewardship and Sustainability	(1) the entire report
(F) Compliance/risk management team	(3) parts of the report
(G) Legal team	(4) report not reviewed
(H) RI/ ESG team	(1) the entire report
(I) Investment teams	(3) parts of the report

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 59	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

### Which of the following ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

	(A)	Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
<b>√</b>	(B)	GRESB
$\checkmark$	(C)	Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
	(D)	B Corporation
<b>√</b>	(E)	BREEAM
	(F)	CBI Climate Bonds Standard
	(G)	EU Ecolabel
	(H)	EU Green Bond Standard
	(I) I	Febelfin label (Belgium)
<b>√</b>	(J)	FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
	(K)	Greenfin label (France)
	(L)	ICMA Green Bond Principles
<b>√</b>	(M)	Le label ISR (French government SRI label)
	(N)	Luxflag Climate Finance
	(O)	Luxflag Environment
$\checkmark$	(P)	Luxflag ESG
	(Q)	Luxflag Green Bond
	(R)	Luxflag Microfinance
$\checkmark$	(S)	National stewardship code (e.g. UK or Japan), please specify:
	UK	and Japan
П	(T)	Nordic Swan Ecolabel
	` ′	Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic), please specify:
		People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
		RIAA (Australia)
		Towards Sustainability label (Belgium)
		Other, please specify:
	マナノ	rman Sustainable Building Council

# Listed Equity (LE)

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

	$\begin{array}{c} (2)  \text{Active}  - \\ \text{quantitative} \end{array}$	(3) Active – fundamental	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	•	•	•
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0	0	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	٥	0	٥
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0	0	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	٥	0	٥

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(2) Active - Quantitative	(3) Active - Fundamental	(4) Investment Trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	Ø	Ø	☑	
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	Ø	Ø	Ø	
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	Ø	Ø	☑	
D) The investment process accorporates the effect of material CSG factors on revenues and ausiness operations		Ø	☑	

# Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

	(2) Active – quantitative	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{(3) Active} - \\ \textbf{fundamental} \end{array}$	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	•	•	•
(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	0	0	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	0	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	0	0	0

# ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

	(2) Active – quantitative	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{(3) Active}  - \\ \textbf{fundamental} \end{array} $	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) We incorporate governance- related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	Ø	Ø	Ø	

and social rish	oorate environmental ks into financial l equity valuations				Ø	
and social risk companies' su	porate environmental as related to apply chains into alling and equity	Z		Z		☑
(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process						
	t incorporate ESG financial modelling luations					
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1
In what proposal valuation pro-	ortion of cases do you inccess?	corporate the follow	ing material ESC	G risks into you	r financial modelling an	d equity
(2) Active - C	Quantitative					
(A) We incorporate valuations	porate governance-related	risks into financial	modelling and e	quity	(1) in all cases	
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations					(1) in all cases	
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases						
(3) Active - F	undamental					
(A) We incorporate valuations	porate governance-related	(1) in all cases				

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(4) Investment Trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases

# Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	(2) Active – (3) Active – quantitative fundamental		(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	Ø	Ø	Z	
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	Ø	☑	Ø	
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	☑	☑	Ø	

(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	☑	
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

## (2) Active - quantitative (A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics (1) in all cases (B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG (1) in all cases metrics (C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected (1) in all cases peer group across a range of ESG metrics (D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future (1) in all cases corporate revenues and/or profitability (3) Active - fundamental (A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics (1) in all cases (B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG (1) in all cases metrics

(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases

## ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

# Outline one best practice or innovative example where ESG factors have been incorporated into your equity selection and research process.

In June of 2020, a European payment processor company went into insolvency due to its auditor finding evidence of widespread fraud within the company. The stock price plunged and executives including its CEO were arrested. It emerged that its financial statements were inaccurate and some €1.9 billion in cash was unaccounted for. But the warning signs of financial misreporting were there for some time and although since 2014, the company's revenues quadrupled, earnings trebled and its net cash position grew, it all failed to add up. The risks around its governance and accounting were too great and our analyst felt that its financial statements didn't support the narrative from the company, and our analyst questioned the sustainability of its growth.

The company received a middling rating from external research providers before the crash stating that there was a "continued strong financial performance which countered allegations of accounting irregularities". But our analyst gave the company the lowest sustainability rating, accurately reflecting the risks from early on, long before the accounting scandal broke in June. The analyst stated that the company had poor accounting quality and on engaging with the company on the topic, he had received evasive responses from management.

This example shows how our expansive research on ESG factors and engagement approach helped us avoid a company that appeared lucrative on the surface but in reality it had deep-rooted problems.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

### How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(2) Active – quantitative	$ (3) \ {\bf Active} - \\ {\bf fundamental}$	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Z	Ø	☑
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	Z
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	<b></b> ✓
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process			
(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)	Z	Ø	☑
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors			

Please specify for "(E) Other expressions of conviction".

Fidelity adopt a local approach to our sustainable investment process, recognizing the differences in economic systems, market structures, societal norms and business models across all the jurisdictions in which we operate and invest. We have over 180 analysts based across several regions and with deep insight into around 4,000 issuers worldwide. Our locally based research network across the region provides a competitive advantage in identifying companies with sustainable investment potential. This is particularly true in Asia where there are abundant growth opportunities across countries and sustainability assessment frameworks are less advanced relative to developed markets.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

#### In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(2) Active – quantitative	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases
(3) Active – fundamental	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 7	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Please provide two examples of how ESG factors have influenced weightings and tilts in either passive or active listed equity.

#### Provide examples below:

(A) Example 1:

For Fidelity's Sustainable Family fund range, there is a specific portfolio construction requirement whereby at least 70% of the fund's AUM need to be invested in companies with strong ESG credentials (BBB for Developed Markets and BB for Emerging Markets, based on MSCI's ESG rating). If an issuer is unrated by MSCI, the minimum rating will have to be C or above by Fidelity's Sustainability Ratings. Our ratings score issuers on an A-E scale and trajectory forecast based on fundamental bottom-up research and materiality assessment using criteria specific to the industry of each company. Take Fidelity Sustainable Asia Equity Fund as an example. It not only invests at least 70% in Asia ex-Japan stocks in accordance to the requirement, the fund also assesses its holdings against carbon footprint reports and relevant investible UN Sustainable Development Goals.

(B) Example 2:

A key input to portfolio construction is the ESG analysis at stock and sector level which is important to the selection of companies with strong and improving ESG credentials. Take Fidelity Sustainable European Equity Fund as example. The fund selects 35 to 50 companies with the best sustainable models, both in terms of financial and non-financial performances. With Fidelity's Sustainability Ratings framework, the fund conducts ESG analysis at stock and sector (c. (response continued in row below)

80 key ESG Issues) levels to select companies having best practices, sustainable development, high ESG scores and low controversy risks, in addition to financial analysis. This approach allows the fund to construct a portfolio with strong sustainable characteristics, achieving measurable, positive and sustainable impacts that contribute to specific investible Sustainable Development Goals. In terms of valuation of individual securities, Fidelity do not use a prescriptive formula to apply a premium to companies with good ESG credentials or discount to companies with weak ESG credentials because we believe every company is different and should be analyzed on a case by case basis. When justified, our analysts do apply such premium/discount based on their ESG analysis of the company. An example is an Asian semiconductor company whereby the analyst applied a 20% markup to the target price given the company's strong ESG performance..

### ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☑ (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☑ (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- $\square$  (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- ☑ (D) Other, please specify:

Fidelity International's Sustainable Investing Operating Committee (SIOC) has oversight of the firm-wide exclusion policy. The Sustainable Investing team, in conjunction with external data, tools and research providers, undertakes a series of ESG themed assessments which identify companies within our investment universe that could be categorised for potential exclusion. The Sustainable Investing team discusses these with the in-house Research Team to screen these companies in more detail against our ESG principles to establish a provisional Exclusion List, which is then submitted to SIOC for approval. The committee meets every quarter to review the list and approve any changes, but meetings are convened as required to add or remove companies from the list in the event of a change in circumstances.

 $\square$  (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

# Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{(2) Active} - \\ \textbf{quantitative} \end{array}$	$ (3) \ {\bf Active} - \\ {\bf fundamental}$	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities		Ø	☑
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level		Ø	✓
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed			
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency			
(E) We do not conduct reviews			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

	(2) Active – quantitative	(3) Active – fundamental	(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	0	0	0
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	•	•	•
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	0	0	0
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	0	٥	0
(E) Other	0	0	0
(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	0	0	0

## Performance monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of an ESG factor that your organisation incorporated into your equity valuation or fund construction and describe how that affected the returns of those assets.

#### Provide examples below:

(A) Example from your active listed equity:

An online retailer was exposed by a British newspaper for poor health & safety in their supplier factories that were based in the UK. They were also exposed for not paying minimum wage and for excessive working hours. Our rating of the company was fairly low at D and the analyst pointed out concerns regarding poor supply chain conditions as the main reason behind the poor rating, he states the company "is one of the most controversial companies in terms of ESG-compliance due to being a disposable fashion retailer heavily focused on cheap production from manufacturers which claimed to have poor working conditions.". (response continued in row below)

In contrast, a global research provider gave the company a top rating of A stating that their supply chain management was a key strength. The retailer shares price dropped more than 25% following their acknowledgement of the poor working conditions and supply chain management. This is a good example of where our internal research and knowledge of a company is key to the ESG ratings we create and can be better than external ratings in terms reflecting and capturing material concerns of a company more accurately which leads to more complete analysis and better-informed investment decisions..

# Reporting/Disclosure

## Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	•	0	0	0
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	•	0	0	0
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	0	0	•	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

#### What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(2) Active – quantitative	
(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(3) Active – fundamental	
(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	
(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	In all of our regular stakeholder reporting

(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data

reporting

reporting

1) In all of our regular stakeholder

# Stewardship

## Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

(A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing; https://www.fidelity.com.sg/static/singapore/pdf/esg/responsible-investment-policy.pdf; https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

- o (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy

(12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

#### ☑ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:

Include shareholder rights and authority, corporate culture and conduct, audit and financial reporting, remuneration, article and charter amendments, capital management, related-party transactions, investment-related matters

#### ☑ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:

Include climate change, biodiversity, waste and pollution, water and aquaculture, sustainable protein

#### ☑ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:

Include diversity and inclusion, supply chain sustainability, human rights, labour rights and modern slavery, health and safety, data privacy, cyber security, digital ethics, political donations and lobbying

 $\square$  (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

### Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

#### 

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/static/master/media/pdf/esg/responsible-investment-policy.pdf, https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

- o (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- o (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18.1	CORE	LE 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

#### How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- (B) We always recall all holdings in a company for voting on ballot items deemed important (e.g. in line with specific criteria)
- o (C) We always recall some securities so that we can vote on their ballot items (e.g. in line with specific criteria)
- o (D) We maintain some holdings so that we can vote at any time
- o (E) We recall some securities on an ad hoc basis so that we can vote on their ballot items
- o (F) We empower our securities lending agent to decide when to recall securities for voting purposes
- o (G) Other, please specify:
- (H) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18.2	CORE	LE 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

#### What exclusions do you apply to your organisation's securities lending programme?

- $\square$  (A) We do not lend out shares of companies that we are engaging with either individually or as a lead or support investor in collaborative engagements
- (B) We do not lend out shares of companies if we own more than a certain percentage of them
- □ (C) We do not lend out shares of companies in jurisdictions that do not ban naked short selling
- □ (D) We never lend out all our shares of a company to ensure that we always keep voting rights in-house
- ☑ (E) Other, please specify:
- The exclusion is applicable in a subset of markets.
- $\square$  (F) We do not exclude any particular companies from our securities lending programme

### Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- o (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- o (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

#### Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

#### How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- $\square$  (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- □ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- $\square$  (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- $\square$  (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- ☑ (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- ☐ (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- □ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

## Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

#### ● (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:

https://www.fidelityinstitutional.com/en-gb/sustainable-investing/sustainable-investing

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- $\circ$  (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- $\circ$  (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

#### In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?

- o (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- o (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- o (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- $\circ$  (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

#### Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- ☑ (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- □ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- $\square$  (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22.1	CORE	LE $22$	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company	(3) 51–75%
(B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly	(5) >95%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- $\square$  (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- $\square$  (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23.1	CORE	LE 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2, 5

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly

(5) > 95%

## Example

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 25	PLUS	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Example	2

Provide examples of the most significant (proxy) voting activities that your organisation and/or the service provider acting on your behalf carried out during the reporting year.

Provide examples below:

(A) Example 1:

A North American bank, in its proxy statement, affirmed that there are already several initiatives they have and are undertaking on the environmental side, namely (i) Carbon Neutral business operations since 2010 (ii) Commitment to TCFD reporting (iii) 100Bn towards low carbon solutions through its lending, financing, asset management and internal corporate programs by 2030, 43Bn of which has already been allocated, and (iv) signatory to all major sustainability focused industry initiatives. However, the bank affirmed that it did not believe setting time-bound quantitative targets would be in the best interest of stakeholders as it "believe there remains a wide range of possible and probable outcomes in terms of timing and shape of the transition to the low carbon economy. Accordingly, given the state of uncertainty on how that will develop, we do not believe that the bank can responsibly commit to the type of quantitative, time-bound targets which the proposal requests". (response continued in row below)

We held two calls with the company on this matter, and they reiterated that the two main issues for them are a lack of a consistent methodology for calculating financed emissions and unreliability of data/volatility of different scenarios. They also hinted at the fact that collection of asset level data from clients within their loan book would be a lengthy exercise and currently, also given the lack of robustness of the underlying data, they lack the necessary resources needed for this exercise. They wanted to also highlight that part of their strategy is to work with clients to decarbonise rather than ceasing their provision of capital. (response continued in row below)

On balance, we recognized that the company has taken advanced steps compared to some of its regional peers to target the climate emergency, and we also appreciated the specific market context and possible lower loan book differentiation potential compared to some of its global peers. However we also think that, as expectations and standards in the market progress, investors must start taking a stronger stance on these types of proposals, especially in instances like this where sufficient flexibility is provided to the company regarding the time frame and stringency of targets, and where it will not be required to make any short term change to its financing/lending activities. As such, we supported the proposal..

Voting and engagement campaign: Covid-19 and executive remuneration

In Q3 2020, we sent out letters to our larger holdings in the ASX 200 setting out our expectations as to how we believed investee companies should approach executive pay decisions in the wake of Covid-19. We have conducted similar letter writing campaigns for the FTSE 350 (UK) and STOXX 100 (Continental Europe).

A key point of emphasis is that we expect companies that have wage subsidy or employee furlough schemes to cancel short-term bonuses for executive directors and equivalent senior management for the year. We have considered this issue principally through a reputational lens, both in terms of how payment of bonuses in these circumstances reflects on the individual company and also how it could contribute the public's perception of private industry as a whole (the 'privatization of profits vs. (response continued in row below)

socialization of costs' problem). We are aware that many of our investee companies have faced difficult headwinds throughout the pandemic and that there may be concerns about demotivating management if pay is cut too drastically, so we have advised that we are willing to accept some flexibility on e.g. the application of discretion for multi-year equity programmes. Beyond this, we also recommended a general restraint on increases in pay quantum for companies that have been hard hit by the crisis, and have recommended cuts in Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) grant levels to avoid windfall gains in cases of large temporary falls in the share price at the time of grant. (response continued in row below)

(B) Example 2:

Subsequent to our letter campaign, we engaged extensively with Australian investee companies on these issues during the 2020 AGM season. We were pleased to see that several companies which had participated in JobKeeper had decided to cancel their KMP bonuses for FY20. However, most companies that participated in the scheme did not, and consequently we voted against the remuneration report more frequently in 2020 than we had in previous years because we concluded that we could not endorse the granting of bonuses under these circumstances.

As noted above, we have an active approach to shareholder voting and are committed to taking our investee companies' individual circumstances into account when making voting decisions. We therefore will often enter into dialogue with the company if we are considering a vote against management on a proposal. On several occasions in 2020, our engagement with companies led us to reconsider our original voting intentions under our Covid-19 remuneration campaign because we were satisfied that the company was materially addressing our concerns or was otherwise constrained in its ability to act..

# Fixed Income (FI)

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors for its fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	•	•	•

(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0	0	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	0	ο	0
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0	O	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

### How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	Ø	☑	Ø
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	Ø	☑	Z
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	Ø	✓	Ø

# ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	☑	☑	☑
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits			
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors			
(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process, please specify below:			
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate ESG factors into our portfolio risk management			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your fixed income assets are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

#### (1) SSA

qualitative ESG veto

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
(2) Corporate	
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
(3) Securitised	

## ESG incorporation in asset valuation

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	Ø	☑	☑

(1) for all of our assets

(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	Ø	☑	Ø
(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3.1	CORE	FI 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

## (1) SSA

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases
(2) Corporate	
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases
(3) Securitised	
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases

# ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

### How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	☑
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	☑
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process			
(E) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:	Ø	Ø	Ø
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors			

Please specify "(E) Other expressions of conviction".

Fidelity adopt a local approach to our sustainable investment process, recognizing the differences in economic systems, market structures, societal norms and business models across all the jurisdictions in which we operate and invest. We have over 180 analysts based across several regions and with deep insight into around 4,000 issuers worldwide. Our locally based research network across the region provides a competitive advantage in identifying companies with sustainable investment potential. This is particularly true in Asia where there are abundant growth opportunities across countries and sustainability assessment frameworks are less advanced relative to developed markets.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5.1	CORE	FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

#### In what proportion of cases do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

#### (1) SSA

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases
(2) Corporate	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases

## ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) In the majority of cases, we			
incorporate material governance- related risks	0	0	0
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	•		•
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers	٥	0	0

# ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates	Ø	☑	☑
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction	Ø	✓	☑
(C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group	Ø	☑	☑
(D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks			
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology			

## ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

- (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)
- ☑ (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector
- □ (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9.1	CORE	FI 9	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your corporate fixed income assets do you apply your framework for differentiating ESG risks by issuer country/sector?

	(1) for all of our corporate fixed income assets	(2) for the majority of our corporate fixed income assets	(3) for a minority of our corporate fixed income assets
(A) We differentiate ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)	•	0	0
(B) We differentiate ESG risks by sector	•	0	0

## Securitised products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Securitised products	1

How do you incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products?

- (A) We analyse ESG risks and returns for both the issuer or debtor and the underlying collateral or asset pool
- (B) We perform ESG analysis that covers the issuer or debtor only
- o (C) We perform ESG analysis that covers the underlying collateral or asset pool only
- o (D) We do not incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products

# Post-investment phase

## ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

#### Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual fixed income assets	Ø	✓	Ø
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level	Ø	✓	Z
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed			

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency		
(E) We do not conduct reviews that incorporate ESG risks		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

### Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	0	0	0
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	•		•
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	٥	0	0
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	٥	0	0
(E) We do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	0	0	0

## Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We take into account current risks	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) We take into account medium- term risks	Ø	☑	Ø
(C) We take into account long-term risks			Ø
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors			

## Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	•	•	•

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets	0	O	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets	0	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	0	o	0

## Thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 19	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

#### How do you determine which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

- ☑ (A) By reviewing the bond's use of proceeds
- $\square$  (B) By reviewing companies' ESG targets
- $\square$  (C) By reviewing companies' progress towards achieving ESG targets
- □ (D) We do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 20	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1, 2, 6

### What action do you take in the majority of cases where proceeds of a thematic bond issuer are not allocated to the original plan?

☑ (A)	We engage with the issuer
□ (B)	We alert regulators
$\square$ (C)	We alert thematic bond certification agencies
$\square$ (D)	We sell the security
$\square$ (E)	We publicly disclose the breach
□ (F)	We blacklist the issuer
□ (G)	Other action, please specify:
□ (H)	We do not take any specific actions when proceeds from bond issuers are not allocated in accordance with the original
plan	

# Reporting/Disclosure

## ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6

#### How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens:	(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to ESG screen changes:	(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	(3) for a minority of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

# Engagement

## Engaging with issuers/borrowers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 22	CORE	OO 9 FI	FI 22.1	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

#### At which stages does your organisation engage with issuers/borrowers?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) At the pre-issuance/pre-deal stage	Ø	Ø	Ø

(B) At the pre-investment stage	<b></b>		$\square$
(C) During the holding period	Ø		
(D) At the refinancing stage	$\square$		
(E) When issuers/borrowers default	Ø	Ø	

## Sovereign bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 23	CORE	OO 9 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Sovereign bonds	2

For the majority of your sovereign bond engagements, which non-issuer stakeholders do you engage with to promote your engagement objectives?

engagement objectives?
☐ (A) Non-ruling parties
□ (B) Originators and primary dealers
☐ (C) Index and ESG data providers
□ (D) Multinational companies/state-owned enterprises (SOEs)
□ (E) Supranational organisations
☐ (F) Credit rating agencies (CRAs)
$\square$ (G) Business associations
$\square$ (H) Media
$\square$ (I) NGOs, think tanks and academics
☑ (J) Other non-issuer stakeholders, please specify:
We do engage with all the stakeholders above, but they are largely for the purpose of traditional investment research. Conversations sometimes steer towards ESG and especially governance related discussion items, but this is rarely the main part of the conversation.
T (V) W. do not an one with any of the above stable blanches the majority of any accounting hand an any majority

# Real Estate (RE)

# **Policy**

## Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- □ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail, education etc.)
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to major renovations
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to property managers
- ☑ (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on excluding certain tenants based on responsible investment considerations
- $\square$  (I) Our policies do not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

## **Fundraising**

#### Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- $\square$  (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- □ (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- $\square$  (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year

	$(\mathbf{E})$	Not	applicable	as	we	have	never	raised	funds
_ '	( <b>–</b> )	1100	applicable	ab	WC	mavc	IIC V CI	raisca	Tunus

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	N/A	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

#### During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) We performed a mix of property type and asset-level materiality analysis	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(C) We assessed materiality according to property type only	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

# During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

_	/ A \	TT7 1	ODI	Cu 1	1 .	· c		1	1 1	materiality	1 .
-	1 A 1	VVA 11900	t ÷R t	Standard	19 10	intorm	Our	rest	ACTATA	materiality	analycic

- $\square$  (B) We used SASB to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- $\square$  (C) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- $\square$  (D) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate materiality analysis
- ☑ (E) Other, please specify:

Prior to acquiring an asset, we conduct a rigorous due diligence process. We draw on external sustainability consultants that support us in our decision-making by highlighting potential sustainability risks. In addition, our assessment is based on internal exclusion lists and comprises the identification and engagement of key local stakeholders and finally the development of sustainability improvement plans.

<sup>☐ (</sup>F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

## Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

## During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your real estate investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(G) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on CAPEX assumptions	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(H) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on OPEX assumptions	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(I) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on the cost of capital or discount rate assumptions	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(J) Other, please specify:  NA	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

# Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(A) We do a high-level/desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific issues	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(D) We conduct site visits and in-depth interviews with management and personnel	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(E) We incorporate actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the due diligence process into our post-investment plans	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(F) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(G) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(H) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

# Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers

## Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external property managers? (If you did not select external property managers during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external property managers.)

- (A) We requested information from potential managers on their overall approach to ESG
- ☑ (B) We requested track records and examples from potential managers on how they manage ESG factors
- ☑ (C) We requested information from potential managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- ☑ (D) We requested documentation from potential managers on their responsible procurement practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)
- ☑ (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential managers
- $\square$  (F) Other, please specify:
  - For the Fidelity UK Real Estate Fund the last appointment of external property managers has been in 2017. For the Fidelity Eurozone Select Real Estate Fund the last appointment has been in 2020.
- □ (G) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external property managers

## Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4

#### How did you include ESG factors in the appointment of your current external property managers?

(A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements	(2) for the majority of our external property managers

(C) We set clear ESG performance targets	(3) for a minority of our external property managers
(D) We set incentives related to ESG targets	(4) for none of our external property managers
(E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(F) Other, please specify:  N/A	(4) for none of our external property managers

# Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle				
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4				
How do you inc	lude ESG factors in the	e monitoring of ext	ernal property n	nanagers?						
(A) We monitor targets	(A) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative environmental (2) for the majority of our external property managers									
(B) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative social targets (4) for none of our external property managers										
(C) We monitor	· performance against q	${\rm uantitative~and/or}$	qualitative gove	ernance targets	(4) for none of our external property managers					
(D) We monitor	progress reports on en	gagement with ter	nants		(1) for all of our ex managers	ternal property				
(E) We require	formal reporting on an	(1) for all of our ex managers	ternal property							
(F) We have reg	gular discussions about	(1) for all of our external property managers								
· '	a performance review on cial incentive structur	(4) for none of our property managers	external							

(H) We have internal/external parties conduct site visits at least once a year	(1) for all of our external property managers
(I) Other, please specify:	(4) for none of our external property managers

# Construction and development

## Construction requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What sustainability requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

- ☑ (A) We require the management of waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- (B) We require the management of waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks and soil from disposal
- (C) We require the minimisation of light pollution to the surrounding community
- (D) We require the minimisation of noise pollution to the surrounding community
- ☑ (E) We require the performance of an environmental site assessment
- $\square$  (F) We require the protection of the air quality during construction
- $\square$  (G) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- $\square$  (H) We require the protection of surface and ground water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- (I) We require the constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site
- $\square$  (J) Other, please specify:
- □ (K) We do not have sustainability requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

## Minimum building requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 10	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1

#### What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?

(A) We require the implementation of the latest available metering and IoT technology	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
B) We require that the building be able to obtain a recognised green building sertification for new buildings	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(C) We require the use of certified (or labelled) sustainable building materials	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(D) We require the installation of renewable energy technologies where feasible	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net-zero arbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction	(4) for none of our development projects and major renovations
F) We require water conservation measures	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
G) We require common occupant health and well-being measures	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(H) Other, please specify:  We require minimum EPC certification in additional to green building certification.	(1) for all development projects and major renovations

# Post-investment phase

## Monitoring

(C) Waste production

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1
During the re	porting year, what ESG b	uilding performance	data did you colle	ct for your real o	estate assets?	
Through met	ering					
(A) Electricity	y consumption			(	(1) for all of our	real estate assets
(B) Water con	nsumption			(	(1) for all of our	real estate assets
(C) Waste pro	oduction			(	(1) for all of our	real estate assets
Through anot	ther method					
(A) Electricity	y consumption			(	(1) for all of our	real estate assets
(B) Water con	nsumption			(	(1) for all of our	real estate assets

(1) for all of our real estate assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

#### For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your real estate investments?

- (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- ☑ (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks/standards
- $\square$  (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- □ (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we tracked
- $\Box$  (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

#### What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your real estate investments?

investments
(4) for none of our real estate investments
(1) for all of our real estate investments
(1) for all of our real estate investments
(1) for all of our real estate investments
(1) for all of our real estate investments
(1) for all of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

# Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(D) Other, please specify: ${\rm N/A}$	(4) for none of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

#### What proportion of your real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label?

- $\circ$  (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- (B) The majority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- $\circ$  (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- o (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

## ${\bf Stewardship}$

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

How does your property manager engage with tenants? (If you are a property manager, please report on your direct tenant engagement.)

#### ${\bf Tenants\ without\ operational\ control}$

(A) We engage with real estate tenants through organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties
(B) We engage with real estate tenants on energy and water consumption and/or waste production	(1) for all of our buildings or properties
(C) We engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases	(1) for all of our buildings or properties
(D) We engage with real estate tenants through identifying collaboration opportunities that support net-zero targets	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(E) We engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
F) Other, please specify: $N/A$	(4) for none of our buildings or properties

## Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD, GRESB)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the property(s)	(3) for a minority of our real estate investments
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(G) Other, please specify:  N/A	(4) for none of our real estate investments

# Reporting/Disclosure

## ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

#### During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

 ( A )	XX/1	•		4 ll-	_	1- 1: -1	1:11	sustainability	
 AI	vve reported	m	agoregate	Enrongn	$\boldsymbol{a}$	DIIDIICIV	ansciosea	Sustamanutt	report

- ☑ (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- $\square$  (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- □ (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- $\square$  (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- $\square$  (F) We did ad hoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- $\square$  (G) Other, please specify:
- ☐ (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year